Hobli, Sira Taluk and Javagondanahalli Hobli in Hiriyur Taluk ;

(*b*) was an estimate prepared ;

(*c*) were raiyats agreeable to pay contribution or not?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (Mr. R. W. Scaldwell).**—

(*a*), (*b*) and (*c*) The answer is in the affirmative.

Mr. K. P. CHENNABASAVIAH.—When was the project prepared and for how much ?

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—In 1919 and for Rs. 26,800. But the tender received amounted to Rs. 35,000. So, we could not accept it.

Mr. K. P. CHENNABASAVIAH.—What is the acreage expected to be irrigated ?

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—Only 205 acres.

Mr. K. P. CHENNABASAVIAH.—Will it benefit only the Sira Taluk, or the Hiriyur Taluk also ?

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—Only the Sira Taluk.

Mr. K. P. CHENNABASAVIAH.—Is a new estimate under preparation ; if so, for how much ?

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—In 1928 a new estimate was prepared for Rs. 45,000. But the raiyats agreed to pay a contribution of Rs. 50 per acre which is not enough. If they agree to a contribution of Rs. 150, we may consider the case.

Mr. K. P. CHENNABASAVIAH.—Are Government aware that there is no other irrigational project in the Sira Taluk ?

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—Yes.

Mr. B. SITARAMIAH.—Seeing that the Borankanive Nala supplies enough water, will the Government take up this scheme ?

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—The suggestion will be considered.

Mr. T. TARE GOWDA.—During 1936-37, a sum of Rs. 3,378 was spent on the survey of a project near Naranapura village, Gowdigere hobli. Why has no provision been made in the new budget for it? Since the work has been stopped, is it the idea to have the project near Kariyala ?

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—No ; it will be near Ganadahunasehalli, Sira Taluk.

Mr. T. TARE GOWDA.—In the appendix to the budget, it is stated that Rs. 3,378 is the total expenditure incurred to end of 1936-37 on "Gauging the river Vedavati near Naranapur" (the estimate for which was sanctioned in April 1934). Why has no provision been made in the budget for 1937-38 ? The Sira Taluk is very backward in irrigational facilities. Will Government kindly take up one of the two projects in view, whichever will be of benefit to the Sira Taluk ?

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—The question will be considered.

Anes in Cocoanut Gardens.

Q.—23. **Messrs. M. SIVABASAPPA and M. R. SIVAPPA (Tiptur Taluk) ; and Chintanapalli BHASKARIAH (Tiptur Municipality).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) are Government aware of the fact that, in many previous sessions of the Assembly, permission to construct *anes* in cocoanut gardens up to ground level was sought for ;

(*b*) is such an order in force throughout the State ?

A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).**—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

Mr. M. SIVABASAPPA.—With reference to answer to (*b*), are the Government aware that the local officers have not been giving permission to construct *anes* even when petitions are submitted?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—It will not be possible for them to give permission immediately a petition is received. The flow of water to Government tanks should not be obstructed, and the local officers will have to verify it before giving permission.

Mr. M. SIVABASAPPA.—The raiyats have no object in obstructing the flow of water. The *anes* are necessary for preventing the water from scouring and damaging the crops. Why should permission be refused in such cases ?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Government have passed orders that in unobjectionable cases, permission to construct *anes* up to the ground level may be given.

Mr. M. SIVABASAPPA.—Then, why should the raiyats be fined for constructing the *anes*?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Probably for putting up *anes* without obtaining permission, and it is not in all cases that permission could be given.

Mr. M. SIVABASAPPA.—Even in legitimate cases, enquiries are not made and petitions are simply filed.

The REVENUE COMMISSIONER (Mr. K. V. Anantaraman).—It is not a correct statement. Enquiries are made in all cases. In many cases permission has been given and in other cases it has been refused.

Damage caused by the Borankanive Nala.

Q.—24. Messrs. Mummadi H. C. VEERABHADRAPPA and C. H. LINGA DEVARU (Chiknayakanhalli Taluk) and P. CHOWDIAH (Chiknayakanhalli Municipality).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) are they aware of the fact that the Borankanive Nala is flowing up to Marenadu through Dabbegunte;

(*b*) are they also aware of the fact that garden lands of Dabbegunte village are subjected to much loss?

A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (Mr. R. W. Scaldwell).—

(*a*) The answer is in the affirmative.

(*b*) The answer is in the negative.

Mr. Mummadi H. C. VEERABHADRAPPA.—With reference to answer to (*b*), is it not a fact that considerable damage is caused to the cocoanut gardens?

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—No, the Deputy Commissioner has reported that no damage is caused to the garden crop.

Frontier Toll-gates and Check-gates.

Q.—25. Mr. K. VENKATARAMIAH (Arkalgud Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) how many frontier toll-gates are there in the Mysore State;

(*b*) out of these, how many have check-gates;

(*c*) what are the names of the toll-gates which have check-gates;

(*d*) whether there are any rules prescribing a distance limit between a check-gate and a toll-gate; if so, what are they;

(*e*) what is the object of providing check-gates;

(*f*) whether it has come to the notice of Government that much inconvenience is felt by the people in places where the check-gates are very far off from frontier toll-gates?

A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatesa Iyengar).—

(*a*) 42.

(*b*) 4.

(*c*) (1) The toll-gate at Sivasamudram has got a check-gate at Panditha-nahalli.

(2) The toll-gate of Sathehalli has got a check-gate;

(3) Lakshmipura toll-gate has got a check-gate at Benevatti; and

(4) Talaguppa has got two check-gates: one at Talavetta and the other at Kuge.

(*d*) No.

(*e*) To levy toll on traffic that could evade payment at the main gate.

(*f*) No.

Mr. K. VENKATARAMIAH.—Do Government know that the distance from Sathehalli to the check-gate is about 3 miles?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—It may be. I do not know definitely.

Mr. K. VENKATARAMIAH.—Are Government aware that this road leads to many interior villages?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—Yes, that is why a check-gate has been located there to levy toll on traffic that might evade payment at the main gate.

Mr. K. VENKATARAMIAH.—Is there any other way of going into the interior villages?